P

App F IX 4

Previous References

1928.
me

File No 22 28
1
Serial Nos. 7, 12 2

Later References

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos

Part B for May 29

SUBJECT

Irregular payment to messrs C Narayanaswamy Chetty & Y Venkatarayappa in respect of the Govt hope of the Mushad Village Chickballapur Taluq. for the Shanbog in Feb 1922

The Deputy Commissioner Kolar.
The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore Bangalore
The Comptroller to Govt-

L 7539-41 Dy 14-5-1929

Sanctions the recommendation of the Collector to drop the proceedings for the recovery of Rs 600/-

Serial No.

7

Letter no. A 6-153 dy 25 from the D.C Kolar. Requests that under circumstances stated the request of the chairman be complied with and to drop the question of irregular payments to certain inmates here in respect of a goat taken for the Shamala, Feby 22.

The facts of the case are as follows:—
The accused took a rope S.No. 220 for the Cattle Shamala and paid Rs 6 to Thomas Chandra Nadar in Chitty of Venkatarama Yappa in Feby 22. The alleged owners of the rope. It was subsequently found out that the rope belonged to Govt & not the gentlemen mentioned above. The accused was asked to recover the money from them but they refused. The accused resolved that it is not consistent with the dignity of the Govt to proceed against the parties now, that they are agreeable to

NOTE—Contn sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat | Inl File No. 22 of 192 8

Serial No.

Ro 838-3 — As what price for the same type & vessel that the irregular payments of Rs 600 hereinafter made may be condoned. The Dy Com.r considers that the action of the Asst at Wc is highly irregular & that no useful purpose will be served by raising complaints against the late President (name not stated) at the time who was responsible for the irregular payment of Rs 600 is no more. & as it will also be difficult to take legal proceedings for recovery of the money at this distance of time the Dy Com.r is of the opinion that the payment may be condoned with a warning to the Asst at Wc to be more careful of such transactions in future. (The records connected with the case have been destroyed as per Rs Revenue No. & will be dealt with as required) Submitted for orders.

The proposal to purchase land from Govt may be allowed. As regards the remaining portion of the land, the matter may be taken up with the Govt of India in the Finance Department.

OFFICE OF THE Board Member of Council 10 JAN 1929 No. 4129

4129 legal proceedings to recover the amount.

Note.—Contn. sheet

L 2882 — 3

App. F III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | End File No 22 of 192 9

Serial No.	
3	Draft pur-ch Appl 26.1.29 H° 25/1
12	Q. 0 no L 4998-9 Dy 29 1° Sanctions the purchase of Site from Govt for Rs 8 Calls for records abso previous transaction
13	From the Rev Comr Dy 29 1 29 Forwards records connected with L States that the L be Granted to the ... recovery of the ... Marks on the Lease The value of the Lease 6 pies per Sq yard ascertaining the of the Slope to be taken Slope Marks of 45° 22 Grantee no. 2 The party of the one part hereinafter called the Lessee of the other part Rs 38-3-0 no. 12 ... Rs 38-3-0 no. 12 ... Lessee agrees to pay ... Rs 38-3-0 as the Annual Lessee agrees to pay ... Rs 38-3-0 as the Annual Lessee agrees to pay ... Rs 38-3-0 as the Annual

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No 22 of 192

Serial No.

The valuation the area of the shops is taken as 4 annas 2 gunthas - in case it is 4½ annas more, the value will be Rs 6 more at six pies per sq. yd. The DC has perhaps to be asked to ascertain & report the exact area.

6 Regarding the proposed transaction of Robb land in Nungambakkam Chalty etc, it is noted that the gentleman himself was the vice Pres. of the Mel CC at that time & that in certain way most improper: selling the Govt land to the Mel CC the Supreme has however reported that there is now no C in the area. The question is a totally no more, that it was ever so also be possible to ascertain the steps for the recovery of the amount at the distance of time that. Therefore we may decide that the question dropped as resolved.

I

II

Cl also. Other proposals orders. The Revenue

Note—Contin. sheet

Wp 021—GPB—30,000—21-8-26

General and Revenue Secretariat | Pudukkottai File No 22— of 192 [illegible]

Serial No.

Remarks about the Sanctuary Rao in dealing with the Case have perhaps to be referred to the C.B if considered necessary

Mg 6.. b) The 2nd item [illegible] wanted to peruse the evidence in this Case which have not been sent up by the Revenue Court. The Court could form to have heard this matter regarding payment to the Rajas on the Jaghir Parikka [illegible] the Report of 1858 (which [illegible] are enclosed with Subdivisional Officers letter of 28th Jany 1928 and on the Statement of Venkatrao appa-

[illegible] 7) This is also in reference to the Resolution of the Madras G of 30th [illegible] in which it is stated that the case with the Raja of Pudukkottai from whom Messrs Ramaswamy Mudaly, Ramaswamy & Venkatarayya derived their title & Next Compromise of which was filed in this Court (Sanctioned by the Madras

[illegible] 8) The [illegible] on the order of Govt the formal Sanction [illegible] this Court all due to the Latch [illegible] to have Settlement Officer [illegible] also this by the [illegible]

Note - Contn. sheet

Serial No.

9) The Govt of Ceylon think there has been delay on the part of the Sundara Oya Contractor, in selecting the matter finally as he has other considerable work of his own in dealing with the case. The papers may be sent to the Govt Agent for information & any remarks necessary.

2nd Nov

10) Please furnish brief note of the facts looked upon by the Govt Agent & the irregularity, giving date & name of officers concerned. Who were S.D.O. at the time of the saga & who later when the real facts came to light. Also understand what Mr Sundara was doing in

C.S.G.

C.O.L.

11) A Subcommittee consisting of the Land Commissioner & Govt Agent recommended that the land containing the bund was suitable for the planting of tea. The planting of tea ... [illegible] ... Govt ... [illegible] ... Govt ... [illegible]

NOTE—Continue above

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
8 FEB. 1929
No.

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No VV of 1926 — 7

Serial No.

11) A Sub Committee consisting of 4 Municipal Councillors recommended that the land consisting of three bits was suitable for holding the shandy and they recommended that a compensation of Rs.1,400/- might be given for them. After a further examination of the title deeds, the valuation was reduced to Rs.800, as it was found that in regard to Thope S.No.220, the land ownership belonged to Government, while Messrs. C. Narayanaswami Chetty and Venkatappa who are said to have purchased it from one Puttappa, had only a right to the Thope. Page 11 of the Vernacular records show that No.220 was Sirkar Kharab though named Puttappa's Thope. The Pleader member of the Sub Committee pointed out the weakness of Mr.Narayanaswami Chetty's title (Pages 13/1 & (13/2). Mr.Narayanaswami Chetty, one of the members of the Sub Committee and Vice President of the Municipal Council contended that he got it this by partition and objected to this valuation as too low and so the Municipal Council at their meeting dated 29th January 1922 thereupon presided over by Mr.A.Krishnaswami Iyengar, resolved to pay Rs.1000 to Mr.C.Narayanaswami Chetty for 3 plots of his land, including the Thope and Venkatarayappa Rs.400 for S.No.220. Mr. Narayanaswami Chetty as Vice President, suggested that the lands may be

Plan A

S.No.164
Site 20½ x 21 yards
Thope S.No.220.

S.No.164 } a
Sa.204 } 600 Plan C
Thope 200

NOTE—Contd. sheet 2

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No 22 of 1928

Serial No

be purchased by private negotiation under Section 41 of the Municipal Regulation and that he may be paid the money with the exception of the value of the Thope, if it is considered necessary to obtain Government sanction for the Thope A statement was taken from Mr Venkatarayappa that he had right to the land and may be paid Rs 400/- for his share on 14th February 1922 (Page 25 of the Vernacular records) The above facts are given in the President's letter to the Deputy Commissioner dated 30th April 1922 The question of prepration of alienation statements for the land and recovery of 25 years assessment was then taken up in May 1923 This led to the enquiry and the right of the parties to the ownership of the land (Vide para 2 of the Deputy Commissioner's order) Flag B

Flag B

12. After a full examination of the case it was finally ordered by Mr S Hiriannia, the Deputy Commissioner on 8th April 1926 that the parties had no right to the Thope and ordered the resumption of the Thope to Government, the Municipality taking separate action for recovery of the amount wrongly paid to Messrs C Narayanaswami Chetty and Venkatarayappa. The Deputy Commissioner has also observed in the order that the Sub Division Officer and President of the Municipal Council (Mr.A.Krishnaswami Iyengar) did not sift the matter thoroughly

NOTE—Contn Sheet

GD 01714—GPB—30,000—28-4-28

Serial No.

before paying the money.

13. The Deputy Commissioner's order was communicated to the Municipal Council but no definite action seems to have been taken before February 1928 when the Municipal Council (with Mr.G. Sundara Rao as Sub Division Officer and President of the Municipal Council) resolved that they were not for litigation against Mr.C.Narayanaswami Chetty etc, and that they will again pay Rs.800 to Government for the Thope and forgo the Rs.600/- paid to Mr.C.Narayanaswami Chetty etc. The Deputy Commissioner did not however agree to this and the Municipal Council was told ~~him~~ in March 1928 that Municipal funds should not be wasted and that steps should be taken to recover the money from the recipient's heirs etc. The Municipal Council delayed the matter again and it was only in November 1928 that the President of the Municipal Council (Mr.G.Sundara Rao) replied that nothing could be done to recover the money paid to C.Narayanaswami Chetty and that the Municipal Council do not consider it proper to proceed against the late Vice President of the Municipal Council. It is perhaps for this delay (from April 1926 to November 1928) that the Revenue Commissioner blames Mr.G.Sundara Rao who was in charge of the Sub Division from 10th June 1926.

14

NOTE—Original destroyed

WD 631—GRS—30,000—21-3-44

3297 W
W82

App. F LII—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | ML File No 22 of 1929

Serial No.

DEWAN'S OFFICE
3 0 MAY 1929
No. 5062

OFFICE OF THE
BOARD MEMBER OF COMMN.
23 APR 1929
No. 2167

Subd with a draft for approval.
Sd/- 15/4 The Rev Comr has forwarded that one Sundara Rao has shown considerable want of firmness in dealing with the case.

Sd/- 15/4

Mem Copy as returned
Sd/-
15/4

Sd/- 20/4.

Sd/-
23/4

Second Member
Dewan

Sd/- 25/4 Sd/- 15
Sd/-

12-5-29 Sd/-
Sd/-

Issue
Sd/-
15/5

GEN & REV SECTT
15 MAY 1929
LR
14/5

18 Issued no 7539-
D C, Ulur
el 4

Note—Contn sheet

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
KOLAR DISTRICT

In all future correspondence please quote—
No. A.4-[illegible].155/38-39.
Book. [illegible]

Dated, Kolar, the. 25th December 1938

From

A. K. SyedTaj Pogran, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District

To

R. RANGA RAO, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General *Department,*
Bangalore.

Sir,

Subject:- Acquisition of Tope S.No:220 of the Mustur village, Chikballapur Taluk for cattle shandy of the Chikballapur Town

---

I have thehonor to state that the Municipal Council of Chikballapur Town took over a tope, S.No:220 for the Cattle shandy of the Chikballapur Town and paid a sum of ₹ 600/- in February 1932, as compensation to the alleged owners Messrs:G. Narayanaswami Chetty and Y. Venkatarayappa. In course of correspondence the question of the title of the above gentlemen to the Tope was raised by the then Deputy Commissioner and it was decided to be Government property by Mr. S. Kirianmaiya.

2. When the Municipal Council was requested to recover the amount (viz: ₹ 600/-) from the parties concerned to whom evidently the Municipality had wrongly paid the amount, the Council after correspondence at their meeting held on 29-10-38 resolved that as the transaction was entered into after full examination of the titles of the parties concerned by a special committee, then appointed by themfor the purpose and after full consideration it is not consistent with the dignity and honour of the Council to proceed against the parties for the recovery of the money now.

3. The President communicates the wishes of the Council to purchase the said Tope for Government also at an upset price of ₹ 838-8-0 and requests that the question of the irregular payment of [illegible] already made by him to persons not entitled

thereto may be dropped. The Municipal Council first paid a sum of Rs 600/- for a Government topo under the impression that it belonged to a private party. When theDeputy Commissioner claimed the land to belong to Government they say, they are prepared to purchase it from Government also by paying a suitable upset price and want the question of the previous payment to be dropped

4. I need not add that the procedure of the Council was highly irregular. But I request that the irregularity caused by the previous invalid payment made by the Council be condoned as at this distance of time, no useful purpose will be served by raising unpleasant issues considering that the then Vice President who was presumably responsible for the alleged irregularity is no more. Further the question appears to have become complicated by the Law of Limitation, on account of the unwillingness of the Council to adopt measures for the recovery of the amount in time. The Council may kindly be warned that it should be more careful in safe guarding the Municipal funds of which they are the trustees. Copy of my letter No:A.[illegible]/153/27 dated 28-12-29 addressed to the Revenue Commissioner, recommending the grant of the thope for upset price to the Municipality is also submitted herewith for kind reference. As the connected records have been submitted to the Revenue Commissioner, for reference, I shall, if so desired, submit the records to Government after their return by the Revenue Commissioner.

I have the honor to be,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant,

Treasury Assistant Commissioner,
For Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

Absent from the Station

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
KOLAR DISTRICT

Dated, Kolar, the 23th December 1929

From

A. K. Syedtaj Pearun, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District

To

P. G. D'SOUZA, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Revenue *Commissioner in Mysore,*
Bangalore

Sir,

Acquisition of Topo S.No:220 Mustur village, Chikballapur Taluk for cattle shandy of Chikballapur Town.

I have the honor to forward herewith the entire file of correspondence ending with Chikballapur Town Municipal Council President's letter No:288/28-29 dated the 7th November 1928, on the above subject, and to state as follows:-

It is seen from the records that the Municipal Council of the Chikballapur Town took over a topo S.No:220 for the above purpose by private negotiations from Messrs: G. Narayanaswami Chetty (since deceased) and Y. Venkatarayappa, the alleged owners of the said topo on payment of Rs 200/- as cash compensation. But when the question of writing off assessment on the said survey No:220 was in the course of correspondence, the question about the title of the above gentlemen to the topo crept in for investigation, and thereafter was disallowed by Mr. S. Hiriyannaiya, the then Deputy Commissioner who directed the resumption of the land to Government, and recovery of the amount paid to the alleged owners. This question of irregularity in payment is being seperately dealt with by me on the Municipal side.

2. The President, Municipal Council in his latest report requests that the Municipality may kindly be granted the topo at an upset price.

3. The President, who is also the Sub Division officer, Chikballapur Sub Division inspected the land in question, with

the Amildar of the Taluk and other leading people of the locality on 4th November 1933 and he is of opinion that as the land is unfit for building sites, being swampy during rainy season, a flat rate of 0-0-6 per sq. yard is a fair valuation. Necessary mahazar fixing the valuation at Rs 333-6-0 for the land and Rs 150/- for the trees is also enclosed in the file.

4. Under the above circumstances, I request you to be so good as to accord sanction to the grant of the land to the Municipality for the upset price fixed by the President and Sub Division Officer, Chikballapur Sub Division.

I have the honor to be,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant,
Sd.A. K. SyedTaj Peerum,
[redacted]
Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

V.V.R.S.
251233.

"Copy"

For Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

G.R. S VI G 34]

15

SUBJECT

Diary No. of letter replied to...

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 192

Date of issue. 29.1.29

Signed by.

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette, English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Section

File No.

Serial No 12

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Read Letter No. R 6-15-28 dated 25-12-28 from the Collector reporting that the Chief Draftsman has not been paid for Maps 5 and 22 for the Cauvery Survey as he has been in Bangalore since January 29 and requesting that the Order Thereon No.

to make some remarks
that it is not consistent
with the dignity of the Govt
of to proceed against the said
alleged agency for the recovery
of the amount paid to them as the transp
& suggesting remarks to the ... was some inti
for approval of the Govt to the chief offi
purchase the same (and) full examin
finally to which at-any appeal of the Govt
lies to Govt of Rs 838-3-0, the
Govt for recovering the
amount paid to the alleged
authority, in the chapter for
withholding of pay.

Dated 25-1-1998-9 29-1-29

List of enclosures.

The humble ... of the
Petitioner submits that appeal
lies to Govt of Rs 838-3-0 is sanctioned,
the necessity for making the
change never brought out to
the Secretariat by the Govt Engineer
does not justify withholding the
payment of Rs 600 paid to M/s
M. Narasimhayya Chetty & Venkatayya

Copied by

Examined by

WD 1931—6PB—1,000—31-12-28

G R. S. G/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

WD 2[illegible]—6[illegible]—1,[illegible]—23-1-23

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

R e a d :-

Letter No.A.S-133 dated 25th December 1928 from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar reporting that the Chickballapur Municipal Council took over a topo Survey No.226 for the cattle shandy at the place and paid Rs.600/-in February 1928, as compensation for the land to the alleged owners Messrs.C.Narayanaswami Chetty and Y.Venkatrayappa, that it was subsequently decided that the land belonged to Government; that the Municipal Council have resolved that it is not now consistent with the dignity of the Municipal Council to proceed against the said alleged owners for the recovery of the amount paid to them as the transaction was gone into by the Municipal Council after a full examination of the parties' titles and requesting sanction to the proposal of the Municipal Council to purchase the same land from Government at an upset price of Rs.338-3-0, the question of recovering the amount paid to the alleged owners, being dropped.

Order No.L. 4998-99/ME 22-23 12

Dated, Bangalore, the 29th January 1929.

The purchase of the land from Government at an upset price of Rs.338-3-0 is sanctioned, the provision for meeting the charge being pointed out to the Comptroller by the Municipal Council. Orders regarding the question of dropping the recovery of Rs. 600/- paid to Messrs. Narayanaswami Chetty and Venkatrayappa will be passed after a perusal of the records connected with the transaction which may be submitted to Government early.

For General Secretary to Government.

To

The Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District.
The Comptroller, Mysore Government.

sk.3.

No. C 2795/28-29

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Dated, Bangalore, 26th January 1929

From

P G D'SOUZA, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

Subject - Thope survey No 220 (resurvey No 233) of Austur village, Chickballapur taluk, application of Chickballapur town Municipality for -

Sir,

In forwarding herewith the records received with Kolar Deputy Commissioner's letter No A 6 Acq 153/28-29 dated 25th December 1928, I have the honour to state as follows -

It is reported that the Municipal Council of Chickballapur town took over a tope survey No 220 resurvey No 233 of Austur village, Chickballapur taluk, for purposes of the cattle shandy of Chickballapur town by private negotiation from Lessrs C Narayanaswami Chetty (since deceased) and Y Venkatarayappa the alleged owners of the said thope on payment of Rs 600/- as cash compensation but that the question about the title of the above gentlemen to the thope having come up for investigation while the question of writing off the assessment on the said survey No 220 was in the course of correspondence their claim was disallowed by Mr S Hiriannaiah the then Deputy Commissioner by directing the resumption of the land to Government as also the recovery of the amount paid to the alleged owners The Deputy Commissioner states that the question of the irregularity in the payment of the amount by the Municipality to the alleged owners of the thope is being separately dealt with by him on the Municipal side The Deputy Commissioner further states that the President, Municipal Council, has requested in his latest report that the thope may be granted to the Municipality at an upset price, that the President who is also the Sub Division Officer, Chickballapur Sub Division, has inspected the land

land in question with the Amildar of the Taluk and other leading people of the locality on 4th November 1928 and opined that as the land is unfit for building sites being marshy during rainy season a flat rate of 0-0-6 pies per square yard is a fair valuation for the land The Mahazar given by the villagers stating that the thope measures 4 acres 22 guntas or 22,022 square yards that it is worth Rs 688-3-0 at 0-0-6 pies per square yard and that the malki on the land is worth Rs 150/- is enclosed in the records

The Deputy Commissioner recommends the grant of the land to the Municipality for the upset price fixed by the President and Sub Divisional Officer

The land may be ordered to be granted to the Municipality after recovery of the value of the malki on the land plus the value of the land at 0-0-6 pies per square yard after ascertaining the correct area of the thope as a thope thakta of 1895 mentions it area as 4 acres 26 guntas as against 4 acres 22 guntas mentioned in the mahazar This is one of the cases that was brought to my notice when I inspected the Chikballapur taluk There is no doubt that the late Mr Narayanaswami Chetty who was also Vice President of the Municipality acted in a most improper manner in selling to the Municipal Council a tope over which he had really no title but as it is not required the Deputy Commissioner's recommendation may be sanctioned There has been great delay on the part of Mr Sundara Rao Pr getting the matter finally settled and his final note was sent just before his transfer When an officer who holds a duel capacity finds irregularity affecting Government interests for the custody of which he is responsible in the other capacity it is his duty to take immediate steps to see that the defect is remedied and I am constrained to observe that Mr Sundara Rao has shown considerable want of firmness in dealing with the case

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

M Channa Reddy 29/10/28
Assistant Commissioner

Ne 22-06-18

21

Proceedings of the Govt. of H.H.
the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read

Letter No.A.S-153 dated 28th December 1928 from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar reporting that the Chikballapur Municipal Council took over a topo survey No.280 of the Mustur village, Chikballapur Taluk for the/cattle shandy at the place and paid Rs 600/- in February 1922 as compensation for the land to the ~~Chikballapur Panchayat~~ Messrs. C.Narayanaswami Chetty and V Venkatarangaya, that it was subsequently found out that the land belonged to Government, that the Municipal Council have received that it is not now consistent with the dignity of the Municipal Council to proceed against the said alleged owners for the recovery of the amount paid to them as the transaction was gone into by the Municipal Council after a full examination of the ~~matter~~ and requesting sanction to the proposal of the Municipal Council to purchase the said land from Government at an upset price of Rs 632-3-0, the question of recovering the amount paid to the alleged owners being dropped.

2. Government order No.L.4693-98 dated 29th January 1929 sanctioning the proposal for the purchase of land from Government by the Council at the upset price fixed and stating

stating that separate orders would issue regarding the dropping of proceedings for the recovery of Rs 600 paid to Messrs Narayanaswami Chetty and Venkatarayappa

3 Correspondence ending with letter No C 2795/23-29 dated 26/29th January 1929 from the Revenue Commissioner reporting about the irregularity in the payment of the amount by the Municipality to the alleged owners of the tope

---

Order No L 7539 41
ME 22 28-18
Dated Bangalore the 14th May

It is to be stated that the action of the Chickballapur Municipal Council in paying Rs 600/- to Messrs Narayanaswami Chetty and Y Venkatarayappa the alleged owners of the tope Survey No 220 without fully scrutinising their titles to the property is highly irregular Mr A Krishnaswami Iyengar who was then Sub Division Officer and President of the Municipal Council (who is now no more) ought to have sifted the matter thoroughly before making the payment

The Deputy Commissioner, Mr. S. Hiriannaiya ordered on 8th April 1926 that the parties had no right to the tope and that it should be resumed to Government It is very unsatisfactory that though the Deputy Commissioner's orders were communicated to the Municipal Council, no definite

definite action was taken by them for some time and that the Deputy Commissioner had again to advise the Municipal Council in March 1928 to take steps to recover the money from the recipients' heirs The Municipal Council delayed the matter again It was only in November 1928 that the President of the Council Mr G Sundara Rao Sub-Division Officer Chickballapur, finally replied that nothing could be done to recover the money paid to Messrs Narayanaswami Chetty and Venkatarayappa and that the Municipal Council did not consider it proper to proceed against their Vice-President

Government are constrained to observe that there has been undue delay on the part of Mr G Sundara Rao in getting the matter finally settled and that he has shown considerable want of firmness in dealing with the case

The recommendation of the Municipal Council to drop proceedings for the recovery of Rs 600/- paid to Messrs Narayanaswami Chetty and Venkatarayappa is approved They should also be informed that they must be more careful in future in safeguarding the Municipal funds of which they are the trustees.

esn 29/4

General Secretary to Govt

To

The Deputy Commissioner, Kolar Dt
The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore
The Comptroller & Govt

4

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

R e a d :-

Letter No.A.C-153 dated 28th December 1928 from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar reporting that the Chikkballapur Municipal Council took over a tope survey No.220 of the Mastur Village Chikkballapur Taluk for the cattle shandy at the place and paid Rs.600/- in February 1922 as compensation for the land to Messrs. C. Narayanaswami Chetty and Y. Venkatarayappa, th it was subsequently found out that the land belonged to Government, that the Municipal Council have resolved that it is not now consistent with the dignity of the Municipal Council have resolved that it is not now consistent with the dignity of the Municipal Council to proceed against the said alleged owners for the recovery of the amount paid to them as the transaction was gone into by the Municipal Council after a full examination of the rights of the parties to the land in question and requesting sanction to the proposal of the Municipal Council to purchase the same from Government at an upset price of Rs.338-8-0 the question of recovering the amount paid to the alleged owners being dropped.

2. Government order No. 4998-99 dated 29th January 1929 sanctioning the proposal for the purchase of land from Government by the Council at the upset price fixed and stating that separate orders would issue regarding the dropping of proceedings for the recovery of Rs.600/- paid to Messrs. Narayanaswami Chetty and Venkatarayappa.

3. Correspondence ending with letter No.C.2793/28-29 dated 26/29th January 1929 from the Revenue Commissioner reporting about the irregularity in the payment of the amount by the Municipality to the alleged owners of the tope.

ORDER No. 7539-41 | ME 22-28-19

Dated, Bangalore, the 14th May 1929.

It is to be stated that the action of the Chikkballapur Municipal Council in paying Rs.600/- to Messrs. C. Narayanaswami Chetty and Y. Venkatarayappa, the alleged owners of the tope Survey No.220 without fully scrutinising their titles to the property is highly irregular. Mr. A. Srinivasaswami Iyengar who was then Sub Division Officer and Presidentof the Municipal Council (who is now no more) ought to have sifted the matter thoroughly before making the payment.

The Deputy Commissioner, Mr. B. Minnappa ordered on 6th April 1926 that the parties had no right to the tope and that it should be resumed to Government. It is very unsatisfactory that though the Deputy Commissioner's orders were communicated to the Municipal Council, no definite action was taken by them for some time and that the Deputy Commissioner had again to Municipal in March 1928 --

take steps t recover the money from the recipients' heirs. The Municipal Council delayed the matter again. It was only in November 1928 that the President of the Council Mr. C. Sundara Rao, Sub Division Officer, Chickballapur, finally replied that nothing could be done to recover the money paid to Messrs. Narayanaswami Chetty and Venkatarayappa and that the Municipal Council did not consider it proper to proceed against their Vice President.

Government are constrained to observe that there has been undue delay on the part of Mr. C. Sundara Rao in getting the matter finally settled and that he has shown considerable want of firmness in dealing with the case.

The recommendation of the Municipal Council to drop proceedings for the recovery of Rs.600/- paid to Messrs Narayanaswami Chetty and Venkatarayappa is approved They should also be informed that they must be more careful in future in safeguarding the Municipal funds of which they are trustees.

C E Ramachar

for General Secretary to Government.

To

The Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District.
" Revenue Commissioner in Mysore, with the file.
" Comptroller to the Government of Mysore.

K.M.R.4.
8/3.